

United States Patent and Trademark Office

w

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/791,177	03/02/2004	Xiaorong Wang	P02083US1A	3192	
Chief IP Conse	7590 01/09/2008		EXAMINER		
Bridgstone Am	nericas Holdings, Inc.		HARLAN, ROBERT D		
1200 Firestone Akron, OH 443			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1796		
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/09/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/791,177	WANG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

		Robert D. Harlan	1796	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence add	dress
THE	REPLY FILED <u>18 December 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS			
1. 🛚	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice ving replies: (1) an amendment tice of Appeal (with appeal fee)	e of Appeal. To avoid abo , affidavit, or other evide in compliance with 37 C	nce, which CFR 41.31; or (3)
a)	The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set fater than SIX MONTHS from the ma	ailing date of the final reject	ion.
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or to the TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
have to under set for may re	sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date seen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sthin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office latered any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) CE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amo shortened statutory period for reply than three months after the mailing	ount of the fee. The approp originally set in the final Off	riate extension fee fice action; or (2) a
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed IDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of t	ths of the date of the appeal. Since
3. 🗌	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see		pecause
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materiall		the issues for
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		rejected claims.	
	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non	-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		ate, timely filed amendm	ent canceling the
	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:		will be entered and an	explanation of
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. 🔲	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under ap y and was not earlier presented	opeal and/or appellant fa l. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio JEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims afte	er entry is below or attac	hed.
	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application	on in condition for allowa	ince because:
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). Other: See Continuation Sheet.	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	- JAA V. M	al
			Robert D. Harlan	(

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1796

Continuation of 13. Other: The Examiner maintains the final rejection. The affidavit is not convincing is that the control agents of Korm do not functionalize the outer layer of the nanoparticle..